

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		4.14.1				
APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,899		02/25/2002	Kenneth A. Meyers	057351-0005	3164	
20572	7590	02/11/2004	·	EXAMINER		
	GODFREY & KAHN S.C. 780 NORTH WATER STREET				NEUDER, WILLIAM P	
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3672	- -	
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
· •								
Office Assistan Suprement	10/082,899 MEYERS ET							
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	William P Neuder	3672	MU					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress~					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of O (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 I	November 2003.							
,	s action is non-final.							
	(<u> </u>							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1,2,8-11,17,18,20 and 21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,8-11,17,18 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the contract of the contract o	on No ed in this National	l Stage					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/082,899

⁻ Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1,2,8-11,17,18 and 20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S.

Patent No. 6,349,765 in view of Holmes. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims are similar except for the claims of the parent call for an expandable diaphragm, while the claims of the instant application call for a flexible diaphragm. It would have been considered obvious to use a flexible diaphragm in place of an expandable diaphragm since expandable diaphragms are flexible. The amended claims also eliminated the pressure switch. It would have been considered obvious to eliminate the pressure switch from the parent claims since if the pressure in the tank is not to be monitored there would be no need for a pressure switch. The parent application calls for a relief valve and a flow control valve. While the parent claims use a control valve, no mention of a relief valve is

Application/Control Number: 10/082,899

Art Unit: 3672

made. Holmes teaches the use of a relief valve 85 that protects the control valve 82 from failure. It would have been considered obvious to provide the parent claims with a relief valve as taught by Holmes in view of Holmes' teaching that a relief valve prevents or minimizes failure of the control valve. As to claim 20, it would have been considered an obvious design choice to form the control and relief valves as an integral unit.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 21 is allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,899

^a Art Unit: 3672

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P Neuder whose telephone number is 703-308-2150. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J Bagnell can be reached on 703-308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ovalle P William P Neuder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

W.P.N.